

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
AT CHARLESTON**

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327 MDL No. 2327
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES	JOSEPH R. GOODWIN U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

**RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS’
“NOTICE TO TAKE VIDEO DEPOSITION OF RICK ISENBERG” AND
ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**

Defendants Ethicon, Inc. (“Ethicon”) and Johnson & Johnson (“J&J”) (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby respond and object to Plaintiffs’ “Notice to Take Video Deposition of Rick Isenberg” (the “Notice”). The Notice was filed and served on September 26, 2013, and the deposition of Mr. Isenberg is noticed for November 7, 2013 but has been rescheduled to November 5, 2013 by agreement of the parties. The Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

The responses and objections contained herein are made without in any way waiving or intending to waive—but on the contrary reserving and intending to reserve—the right at any time to revise, supplement, correct, or add to these objections and responses. Defendants note that no documents have been withheld from production on the basis of the objections set forth in this Response unless expressly stated.

Defendants generally object to the specific requests to produce contained in the Notice. Plaintiffs have repeatedly requested materials that would impose a much greater burden on Defendants than is required by the agreed-upon Defendants’ Fact Sheet. Defendants have corresponded with Plaintiff’s counsel on this topic. Defendants object to the broad scope of the requests to produce attached to this Notice. These broad requests go far beyond the case-specific depositions and related discovery authorized in Pre-Trial Order 33.

**SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS
TO NOTICE AND REQUESTS TO PRODUCE**

Document Request No. 1: A copy of the current resume and/or curriculum vitae for the deponent.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 1: Defendants object that there is no Rule of Civil Procedure that requires a witness to create a resume or curriculum vitae for production. In an effort to cooperate and facilitate this deposition, however, Defendants will provide Plaintiffs a copy of the deponent's current resume to the extent one exists.

Document Request No. 2: A copy of the personnel file and/or employment file for the deponent.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 2: Defendants have a reasonable and good faith belief that responsive documents, if any, would have been identified in connection with the collection process and, if they exist, would have been or will be produced. Based on Defendants' current knowledge and understanding, Ethicon does not maintain a human resources file for Dr. Isenberg, who left the company in 2002. To the extent that such documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

Document Request No. 3: All documents relating to the TVT products that are in the deponent's personal possession, meaning documents stored or maintained in the deponent's dwelling, home, garage, or any other property owned, rented, leased, maintained or lived in by defendant as well as any documents or electronic media related to the TVT products which is

stored or maintained on the defendant's personal property including but not limited to, personal computers, cell phones, flash drives, or any portable [SIC] storage media device.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 3: Defendants object to this request as overly broad and as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving said objection, to the extent that such documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

Document Request No. 4: All documents, notes, videos, or other information relating to TVT products that the deponent sponsored, supported, edited, posted, and/or linked websites, FaceBook pages, MySpace pages, Twitter pages, Wikipedia, or pages on any other websites.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 4: Defendants object to this request as overly broad and as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving said objection, to the extent that such documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

Respectfully submitted,

ETHICON, INC. AND JOHNSON & JOHNSON

/s/ David B. Thomas

David B. Thomas (W. Va. Bar No. 3731)
Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC
300 Summers Street, Suite 1380
P.O. Box 3824
Charleston, WV 25338-3824
(304) 414-1800

/s/ Christy D. Jones

Christy D. Jones
Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC
1020 Highland Colony Parkway
Suite 1400 (39157)
P.O. Box 6010
Ridgeland, MS 39158-6010
(601) 985-4523

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
AT CHARLESTON**

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327 MDL No. 2327
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES	JOSEPH R. GOODWIN U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David B. Thomas, certify that on October 7, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the CM/ECF participants registered to receive service in this MDL.

/s/ David B. Thomas

David B. Thomas (W. Va. Bar No. 3731)
Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC
300 Summers Street, Suite 1380
P.O. Box 3824
Charleston, WV 25338-3824
(304) 414-1800
dthomas@tcspllc.com